

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Magnetic properties and magnetic entropy change of $LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_v$ interstitial compounds

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2003 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 15 L161

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/15/7/102)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.119 The article was downloaded on 19/05/2010 at 06:35

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 15 (2003) L161–L167

PII: S0953-8984(03)57978-4

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Magnetic properties and magnetic entropy change of $LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_u$ interstitial compounds

Yuan-fu Chen¹, Fang Wang, Bao-gen Shen, Feng-xia Hu, Ji-rong Sun, Guang-jun Wang and Zhao-hua Cheng

State Key Laboratory of Magnetism, Institute of Physics and Centre of Condensed Matter Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, PO Box 603, Beijing 100080, People's Republic of China

E-mail: yfchen@g203.iphy.ac.cn

Received 8 January 2003 Published 10 February 2003 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysCM/15/L161

Abstract

LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_y interstitial compounds have been prepared by hydrogen absorption and subsequent desorption. The Curie temperatures are easily tunable for a wide temperature range from ~195 to ~340 K by hydrogen content. The maximal magnetic entropy changes, under a magnetic field change of 0–5 T, are as large as 16.8–20.5 J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹, exceeding that of Gd ($|\Delta S| \sim 9.8$ J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹ at $T_C = 293$ K) by 70–110% in the vicinity of room temperature. The large magnetic entropy change of all hydrides may be attributed to the first-order itinerant-electron metamagnetic transition confirmed by the Arrott plots. Large $|\Delta S|$, convenient adjustment of T_C and small thermal and magnetic hystereses, make LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_y interstitial hydrides promising candidates for magnetic refrigerants in the corresponding temperature range.

Recently, room-temperature magnetic refrigeration, based on the magnetocaloric effect (MCE), has been demonstrated as a very promising alterative to conventional vapour-cycle refrigeration [1–3]. In order to obtain a larger MCE, much attention has been paid to materials involving a first-order phase transformation [2–10], of which $Gd_5Si_2Ge_2$ [2, 4]. MnFeP_{1-x}As_x [3], LaFe_{13-x}Si_x [7, 8] and MnAs_{1-x}Sb_x [10] have been found to show giant magnetic entropy changes.

The magnetic entropy change in LaFe_{13-x}Si_x has been studied in detail [7, 8]. The results show that when $x \leq 1.6$ the compounds exhibit a first-order magnetic transition, resulting in a large magnetic entropy change; however, the T_C is lower than ~200 K. For use as a roomtemperature magnetic refrigerant it is necessary to increase T_C to a higher temperature but retain the large magnetic entropy change. It has been confirmed that two methods, namely the substitution of Co for Si or Al and the introduction of interstitial atoms, are useful for increasing

¹ Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

0953-8984/03/070161+07\$30.00 © 2003 IOP Publishing Ltd Printed in the UK

the T_C of La(Fe_xAl_{1-x})₁₃ and La(Fe_xSi_{1-x})₁₃ [11–23]. The influence of the substitution of Co for Si on magnetic properties [11, 12] and magnetic entropy change [13, 14] has been studied. Interstitial atoms can produce two effects, namely lattice expansion and hybridization, which affect the magnetic properties [15]. Nitrides [16–19] and hydrides [20–23] of La(Fe_xAl_{1-x})₁₃ and La(Fe_xSi_{1-x})₁₃ have been prepared and studied, showing that the lattice expansion caused by interstitial atoms produces a considerable increase in T_C . Fujita *et al* [22] and our group [23] have done some work on the magnetic entropy change in LaFe_{13-x}Si_x hydrides. However, systematic work on the effect of interstitial H atoms on the Curie temperature, magnetic transition and magnetic entropy change has not been reported; this will be studied in detail in the present letter.

The preparation of the parent alloy LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5} has been described elsewhere [24]. Preparation of LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_y interstitial compounds is as follows. First, the bulk LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5} alloy is saturated by hydrogenation in high-purity H₂ at 5 MPa and 150 °C for about 5 h. Then, extraction of hydrogen to some extent from the saturated hydride was performed under a vacuum better than 5×10^{-4} Pa at 250 °C for different lengths of time. The hydrogen content, *y*, was calculated from the variation of the sample weight before/after hydrogen absorption (desorption) and the weight was measured by an electronic analytical balance (AND GR-202) with a readability of 0.01 mg and a repeatability of 0.02 mg in the range 0–42 g. Powder x-ray diffraction (XRD) data were collected using Cu K α radiation at room temperature. Magnetic measurements were performed on a commercial MPMS-7 type superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer.

Figure 1 shows the room-temperature powder XRD patterns of LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5} and its hydrides LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_y (y = 0.3, 1.3, 1.8). It is confirmed that the lattice constant increases with increasing hydrogen content without changing the cubic NaZn₁₃-type structure. The lattice constants of hydrides for y = 0.3 ($a \sim 11.483$ Å), 1.3 ($a \sim 11.528$ Å) and 1.8 ($a \sim 11.602$ Å) are obviously larger than that of the parent alloy LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5} ($a \sim 11.475$ Å) by $\sim 0.07, 0.46$ and 1.11% respectively.

Figure 2 shows the temperature dependence of magnetization of LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5} and its hydrides LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_y measured with increasing (full symbols) and decreasing (open symbols) temperature in a low field of 0.01 T. The Curie temperatures, determined from the *M*–*T* curves, are ~195, ~224, ~257, ~272, ~288, ~312 and ~341 K for y = 0, 0.3, 0.6, 0.9, 1.3, 1.5 and 1.8 respectively. The effect of the interstitial H atoms on Curie temperatures in La(Fe, Si)₁₃ hydrides is similar to other R–Fe based interstitial compounds, in which the lattice expansion caused by interstitial atoms makes the Fe 3d band narrow and brings about the increase in T_C by reducing the overlap of the Fe 3d wavefunctions [16–23, 25, 26]. So the larger the expansion caused by a higher hydrogen content, the stronger the lattice expansion and the higher the T_C becomes. We also note that the change of magnetization with temperature of the hydrides near T_C is relatively rapid but not so sharp as that of the parent alloy. Furthermore, the magnetization process can be considered as being reversible in temperature because of the small size of the thermal hysteresis (<1 K).

The saturation magnetizations M_s of LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_y, determined from the magnetization curves at 5 K under a magnetic field of 0–5 T, are 163.1, 158.9, 164.1, 163.5, 157.2 and 170.9 emu g⁻¹ for y = 0.3, 0.6, 0.9, 1.3, 1.5 and 1.8 respectively, nearly the same as that of the parent alloy, 167.1 emu g⁻¹.

Magnetization isotherms of the LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_y compounds for y = 0, 0.3, 0.6, 0.9, 1.3, 1.5 and 1.8 were measured in a wide temperature range with different temperature steps. The temperature step was 2 K in the vicinity of T_C and 5 or 10 K for the range far from T_C . The sweep rate of the field was slow enough to ensure that the M-H curves were recorded in an isothermal process. Figures 3(a)–(c) show the magnetization isotherms of LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}, LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_{0.9}



Figure 1. Room-temperature powder XRD patterns for $LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}$ and its hydrides $LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_v$ (y = 0.3, 1.3, 1.8).



Figure 2. Temperature dependence of magnetization of LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_y for y = 0, 0.3, 0.6, 0.9, 1.3, 1.5 and 1.8. Data are shown for increasing (full symbols) and decreasing (open symbols) temperature in a low field of 0.01 T.

and LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_{1.8} respectively. Isotherms on increasing field (full squares) and decreasing field (open squares) are measured only in the vicinity of T_C . It is noted that the size of the magnetic hysteresis during increasing and decreasing field is much smaller than that of the



Figure 3. Magnetization isotherms of LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5} (a), LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_{0.9} (b) and LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_{1.8} (c). The temperature step is 2 K in the vicinity of T_C , and 5 or 10 K for the range far from T_C . Isotherms on increasing field (full squares) and decreasing field (open squares) are measured only in the vicinity of T_C .



Figure 4. Magnetic entropy changes of the hydrides $LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_y$ for y = 0.3, 0.6, 0.9, 1.3, 1.5 and 1.8 in comparison with those of the parent alloy $LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}$ and Gd for magnetic field changes of 0–5 T.



Figure 5. The Arrott plots of LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_y for y = 0.3, 0.6, 0.9, 1.3, 1.5 and 1.8 in comparison with that of the parent alloy LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5} just above the respective T_C s.

parent alloy. So the magnetization process of the hydrides can also be considered as being reversible in magnetic field.

The magnetic entropy change $|\Delta S|$ can be obtained from the Maxwell relation $\Delta S(T, H) = \int_0^H (\partial M/\partial T)_H \, dH$ using the collected magnetization data [2–10, 27, 28]. Figure 4 shows the $|\Delta S|$ of LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_y for y = 0.3, 0.6, 0.9, 1.3, 1.5 and 1.8 in comparison with those of the parent alloy LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5} and Gd [2] under a change in magnetic field from

0 to 5 T. The maximal $|\Delta S|$ values of LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_y for y = 0.3, 0.6, 0.9, 1.3, 1.5 and 1.8 at the respective T_C s are 17.4, 17.8, 16.9, 17.0, 16.8 and 20.5 J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹ respectively, while the corresponding values of LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5} and Gd are 24.6 and 9.8 J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹, respectively. We note that in the wide temperature range of 195–340 K, the maximal magnetic entropy changes of the hydrides at respective T_C are very large ($|\Delta S| \sim 16.8-20.5$ J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹), greatly exceeding that of Gd ($|\Delta S| \sim 9.8$ J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹ at $T_C = 293$) by 70–110%.

Figure 5 shows the Arrott plots of $LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_y$ for y = 0, 0.3, 0.6, 0.9, 1.3, 1.5 and 1.8 just above the respective T_Cs . It is evident that a negative slope appears for y = 0, 1.3, 1.5 and 1.8 and no negative slope but an inflection point appears for y = 0.3, 0.6 and 0.9, which confirms that all of the hydrides exhibit a first-order itinerant-electron metamagnetic (IEM) transition [29, 30]. The sudden jump of magnetization near T_C is a striking characteristic of the IEM transition, which has been studied in detail in $La(Fe_{1-x}Si_x)_{13}$ (x = 0.86 and 0.88) compounds [31, 32]. It also occurs in $LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_y$ hydrides. The higher saturation magnetization, together with the sudden magnetization jump near T_C , leads to large entropy change around T_C . We also note that the IEM transition in the hydrides for y = 0.3, 0.6, and 0.9 is not as steep as that in the parent alloy, resulting in a less sharp change in the M-T curve, therefore $|\Delta S|$ is lower than that of the parent alloy.

The Curie temperatures of LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_y compounds can be continuously tunable from \sim 195 to 340 K by hydrogen content, while the saturation magnetizations remain nearly the same as that of the parent alloy. The magnetic entropy changes of the hydrides are very large, greatly exceeding that of Gd in the corresponding temperature range. Moreover, the hydrides are of low cost, with small thermal and magnetic hystereses.

This work was supported by the State Key Program of Basic Research of China, the National High Technology Development Programme of China, and partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China. One of the authors (Yuan-fu Chen) gratefully acknowledges the support of the K C Wong Education Foundation, Hong Kong.

References

- Zimm C B, Jastrab A, Sternberg Pecharsky V K, Gschneidner K A Jr, Osborne M and Anderson I 1998 Adv. Cryst. Eng. 43 1759
- [2] Pecharsky V K and Gschneidner K A Jr 1997 Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 4494
- [3] Tegus O, Brück E, Buschow K H J and de Boer F R 2002 Nature 415 150
- [4] Pecharsky V K and Gschneidner K A Jr 1997 Appl. Phys. Lett. 70 3299
- [5] Guo Z B, Du Y W, Zhu J S, Huang H, Ding W P and Feng D 1997 Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 1142
- [6] Szewczyk A, Szymczak H, Wisniewski A, Piotrowski K and Kartaszynski R 2000 Appl. Phys. Lett. 77 1026
- [7] Hu F X, Shen B G, Sun J R, Cheng Z H, Rao G H and Zhang X X 2001 Appl. Phys. Lett. 78 3675
- [8] Fujieda S, Fujita A and Fukamichi K 2002 Appl. Phys. Lett. 81 1276
- [9] Hu F X, Shen B G, Sun J R and Wu G H 2001 Phys. Rev. B 64 132412
- [10] Wada H and Tanabe Y 2001 Appl. Phys. Lett. **79** 3302
- [11] Fujita A and Fukamichi K 1999 IEEE Trans. Magn. 35 3796
- [12] Irisawa K and Fujita A 2000 J. Mater. Sci. Technol. 16 167
- [13] Hu F X, Shen B G, Sun J R, Wang G J and Cheng Z H 2002 Appl. Phys. Lett. 80 826
- [14] Hu F X, Qian X L, Sun J R, Wang G J, Zhang X X, Cheng Z H and Shen B G 2002 J. Appl. Phys. 92 3620
- [15] Fujii H and Sun H 1995 Handbook of Magnetic Materials vol 9, ed K H J Buschow (Amsterdam: North-Holland) p 303
- [16] Tang Z X, Deng X H, Hadjipanayis G C, Papaefthymiou V and Sellmyer D J 1993 IEEE Trans. Magn. 29 2839
- [17] Liu J P, Tang N, de Boer F R, de Chatel P F and Buschow K H J 1995 J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 140–144 1035
- [18] Moze O, Kockelmann W, Liu J P, de Boer F R and Buschow K H J 1999 J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 195 391
- [19] Moze O, Kockelmann W, Liu J P, de Boer F R and Buschow K H J 2000 J. Appl. Phys. 87 5284
- [20] Irisawa K, Fujita A, Fukamichi K, Yamazaki Y, Iijima Y and Matsubara E 2001 J. Alloys Compounds 316 70
- [21] Fujieda S, Fujita A, Fukamichi K, Yamazaki Y and Iijima Y 2001 Appl. Phys. Lett. 79 653

- [22] Fujita A, Fujieda S, Fukamichi K, Yamazaki Y and Iijima Y 2002 Mater. Trans. 43 1202-4
- [23] Chen Y F, Wang F, Shen B G, Hu F X, Cheng Z H, Wang G J and Sun J R 2002 Chin. Phys. 11 741
- [24] Hu F X, Shen B G, Sun J R, Cheng Z H and Zhang X X 2000 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 12 L691
- [25] Chen Y F, Wang F, Shen B G, Wang G J and Sun J R 2002 47th Annual Conf. on Magnetism and Magnetic Materials (Tampa, FL, Nov. 2002) BR-10
- [26] Chen Y F, Wang F, Shen B G, Wang G J and Sun J R 2003 J. Appl. Phys. 93 1323
- [27] Gschneidner K A Jr, Pecharsky V K, Brück E, Duijn H G M and Levin E M 2000 Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 4190
- [28] Sun J R, Hu F X and Shen B G 2000 Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 4191
- [29] Yamada H 1993 Phys. Rev. B 47 11211
- [30] Saito H, Yokoyama T and Fukamichi K 1997 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 9 9333
- [31] Fujita A, Akamatsu Y and Fukamichi K 1999 J. Appl. Phys. 85 4756
- [32] Fujita A, Fujieda S and Fukamichi K 2001 Phys. Rev. B 65 014410